

RFIQ reads each RFI the day it closes and tells you, that afternoon, whether the record can carry it.

A claim about the paperwork, not the building. An RFI closes on a live job. Within minutes RFIQ has checked what the closure rests on — an answer, an attachment, another RFI, a promise — and if the record cannot carry it, one email goes out and reopening it is one click. The alternative is the one everybody knows: nobody looks until a claim lands two years later, and then somebody rebuilds the file from memory and old email.

HOW IT WORKS ON A LIVE JOB

1 · Feed Each closure reaches RFIQ as it happens, through a keyed feed I set up with you in an afternoon. One direction, out of your system. Nothing is written back.	2 · Check Eight record checks run on arrival, in milliseconds, with no AI in the loop. They fire on what the closure recorded, not on what it meant — listed below.	3 · Notify A hold the record itself proves sends one email that afternoon — to whoever you name, including the engineer whose name is on the closure.	4 · Decide Reopened or Stands , one click. The record keeps who looked, what they decided and when. Findings are appended, never edited.
---	---	--	---

The eight checks: no answer · “see attached” with nothing attached · rests on another RFI · impact admitted, no figure · closed before answered · dates out of order · closed on a non-decision · closed on a promise.

WHY THE DAY IT CLOSSES, AND NOT TWO YEARS LATER

finished jobs vs. a live one

FOUND ON A FINISHED JOB, LONG AFTER ANYONE COULD ACT

262 of 596 RFIs answered **yes**, there is a cost or schedule impact — with no figure ever attached. Every one of them past the five-business-day notice window by the time anyone looked.

5 submittals closed with the structural engineer never having responded. Work closed in an engineer’s name that the engineer never signed.

Three roof-drain RFIs, each closing by deferring to the next: RFI 65 → “See RFI 131.” RFI 99 → “See RFI 131.” RFI 131 → “As-built’s will be provided by other disciplines.” Nobody ever furnished them. A person found the one hole; the tool, following every chain in that log, found five.

ON A LIVE JOB, THE SAME AFTERNOON

Impact admitted, no figure — held the day it closes, while the notice window is still open.

Closed in your name, no answer from you — one email to the engineer, that afternoon.

Rests on another RFI — held the moment it lands, before a second closure can rest on it.

THE AUDIT, RUN OVER A WHOLE FINISHED JOB

590 closed RFIs · reviewed 18 September 2026

Before there was a feed there was the audit, and it is still there: point RFIQ at a closed log and the project’s own specification (2,163 authorities on this job), and each closure is graded with the clause quoted word for word and cited to its section.

20	520 HELD	50
----	----------	----

Cleared — the record supports the closure · **Held** — the closure asserts something that is not on file · **Blocked** — the record contradicts it

43 of the 50 blocked closures name the specific clause the closure contradicts. Not a judgement call about the work — a quotation from the project’s own specification, set against what the closure said. Re-measured in September on the same log as July: every verdict that moved between the two runs moved toward caution, and not one blocked closure became cleared.

WHAT RFIQ DOES NOT DO

- **It does not stop a close.** It never sits inside your close button. The RFI closes exactly as today; RFIQ reads the record afterward and speaks up.
- **It does not say the building is wrong.** Every finding is about the record. It has never claimed a defect and cannot.
- **It does not answer your RFIs.** On the 590-RFI job only about one in ten was answerable from a document at all.
- **It does not write to Procore, or to anything.** One direction only, by deliberate choice. Keep the system you have.
- **It does not chase.** One email per hold; no reminders, escalation or routing. Not built, not claimed.
- **A licensed professional still decides.** RFIQ retrieves and assembles. It does not stamp anything.

WHAT I HAVE TO TELL YOU BEFORE YOU ASK

THE HONEST STATE OF IT

- **Zero customers. Zero jobs on a feed.** The live side is built and running at rfiq.app; the only closures it has watched are my own test rows. Page 1 is all retrospective.
- **There is no Procore connector.** The feed is a keyed endpoint; the first job's feed is set up by hand, with you, from whatever your system can send.
- **A hold is a disposition, not a finding.** 520 of 590 is the audit's hold rate; how many a human agrees with is **unmeasured**. The record checks are narrower — each says whether it fired on a recorded fact or on prose, and only recorded-fact holds send email.
- **Audit verdicts are not perfectly repeatable.** Run the same log twice and about one in seven closures lands differently — but across every blocked closure re-run, **not one blocked verdict ever became cleared**. When it moves, it moves toward caution.
- **Whether a correctly-quoted clause truly governs** has never been independently scored. That, and the first live job, are the next milestones.

WHAT IT COSTS TO RUN

measured

The eight record checks, per closure	\$0
The full review, per closure	\$0.31
A 590-RFI job, reviewed end to end	~\$184

The checks that send email cost nothing and never guess. The review that reads the specification runs about 28% dearer on a log full of bad closures — the harder a closure is to defend, the more work it does.

WHO BUILT IT

Twenty years hands-on in commercial construction — at the end of the chain, where you wait on the answer. Then back to school to learn to write software, and I built this out of what used to drive me crazy out there: how long an answer takes, and how little of the record survives to explain why something closed the way it did. Built it myself, on real closed logs from jobs I worked.

WHAT I'M ASKING FOR

Put one live job on it.

Founding pilot: one job, three months, \$400 a month. I set the feed up with you in an afternoon, nothing is written back to your system, and the first afternoon it holds one of your closures you will know whether this is real on *your* paperwork rather than mine. If it never holds one, you will know that too.

Not ready for a live job? Send me a closed log from a finished job. I run the same checks free and send back exactly what they find — including nothing. Not yours to send? The name of whoever owns project controls or risk is just as useful.

PRICE

Founding pilot	\$400/mo
One job · three-month commitment	
Project	\$650/mo
One job	
Founding company	\$1,200/mo
All projects · first five customers · rate locked 24 months	
Company	\$2,000/mo
All projects	

Metered **per job, never per seat** — rationing who gets invited breaks the record the whole thing depends on. No free tier.